

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY****Remarks/Arguments**

Claims 6-10 have been withdrawn from consideration by the Examiner. Applicant retains the right to file a divisional application in respect thereof.

Undersigned confirms the election noted at page 3 of the Office Action without traverse.

The Examiner contends that the declaration filed with the subject application is defective and requires the filing of a new declaration correcting the alleged defect. The rejection is respectfully traversed.

In the contention, the Examiner advises as follows.

...The declaration qualifies compliance by only reciting section 37 CFR 1.56(a) in the declaration. However, 37 CFR 1.63 doesn't qualify compliance to only 37 CFR 1.56(a), but requires recitation of compliance with 37 CFR 1.56. (emphasis added)

In the declaration filed with this application, applicant states as follows.

I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56(a).

37 CFR 1.56 has parts (a), (b), (c) and (d).

Part (a) of 37 CFR 1.56 contains the language of the declaration on file.

Part (b) of 37 CFR 1.56 does not state any requirement beyond part (a), simply identifying information which is material to patentability.

Part (c) and (d) of 37 CFR 1.56 do not state any requirement beyond part (a), simply defining individuals encompassed by part (a).

Reference to part (a) in the declaration is accordingly not a qualification of 37 CFR 1.56, as the Examiner suggests. Part (a) is the only requiremental part of 37 CFR 1.56.

More significantly, reference to part (a) of 37 CFR 1.56 is manifestly a reference to the section and, indeed, is a more definitive reference to the entire requirements of the section, which are stated only in part (a).

Reconsideration is requested.

The objection to claim 1 is addressed in the above rendition of new independent claim 11.

All of the cited prior art patents have been carefully considered, particularly those relied on in the several rejections of original claim 1 as being anticipated under Section 102. These

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning  
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

**BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- BLACK BORDERS**
- IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES**
- FADED TEXT OR DRAWING**
- BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING**
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES**
- COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS**
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS**
- LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT**
- REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY**
- OTHER:** \_\_\_\_\_

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.**